

THE CALL

ON TRACK, NOTHING TO FIX

Sample is on track. The projection sits at 156 to 236 marks, at or above the 200 marks this sitting was measured against, what losses remain are concept-shaped rather than clock-shaped, and no topic is failing. Our call is that nothing needs to change. Keep the routine, protect the pace.

This sitting was scored in Readiness mode, against the real blueprint at the real pace, which is the Class 11 and 12 question: where do I stand today.

DOMINANT ERROR PATTERN


Concept gaps

27 percent of questions were missed after full engagement with the clock. These are teachable gaps, which is the most fixable kind of loss there is.

STRENGTHS FIRST

Quantitative Ability (short answer) is this profile's strongest section, at roughly the 88th percentile. Protect it: the commonest way to lose a strong subject is to stop practising it while rescuing a weak one.

WHERE THE STANDING SITS: IPMAT INDORE SCORE OUT OF 360



No school year was declared at the start of this sitting. The standing is read against the Class 12 cohort these norms are written for, with no adjustment. We adjust only on a declared class, never on a guess, because a guessed adjustment moves a standing silently. Projected **156 to 236** on the IPMAT Indore score out of 360, around a midpoint of **212**. A diagnostic that hands you one exact number is guessing. Read the whole band as equally likely.

Measured against: a serious Indore interview call, which is 200 marks on this scale (*product default, no goal was stated at enrolment*). Page two carries the distance to it.

WHAT THIS EXAM SAYS THAT A SCORE ALONE DOES NOT



- Three exams, three scoreboards, one sitting: IPMAT Indore 184 to 238 out of 360; IPMAT Rohtak 253 to 346 out of 480 (the top of that band carries 27 marks of stated pace conversion, because this diagnostic ran Verbal at 53 seconds a question and Rohtak gives 60); JIPMAT 210 to 262 out of 400. Every one of those is a band and not a prediction, and none of them is a rank.
- Your strongest board is IPMAT Rohtak, because logical reasoning came in at 67 percent and verbal at 65 percent, and the pace held steady across all four sections, with a spread of only 0.00 on the pace index. Rohtak runs a flat sixty seconds a question with a composite cutoff, which rewards exactly that evenness. All three remain open and all three are estimated above. This names the board this profile is strongest against; it does not tell anyone to skip the other two, and sitting more than one is the ordinary thing to do.

WHAT THE NEXT FOUR PAGES DO

What this hour measured: 52 questions across 4 subjects, run at 80 seconds a question, which is IPMAT's own pace. It is a short instrument by design, so every figure in here prints as a range and none of it pretends to be a mock result.

- | | | |
|----------|-------------------------|---|
| 1 | THE CALL | The verdict and the one sentence behind it, before any number. |
| 2 | STANDING | Each subject against the field, and what the paper actually scored under the real marking scheme. |
| 3 | SPEED × ACCURACY | Whether the losses belong to the clock or to the content. |
| 4 | ERROR FORENSICS | Which topics carried the loss, and what this diagnostic deliberately did not measure. |
| 5 | THE PLAN | Four weeks, free material first, and one paragraph written for the parent. |

Subject by subject, and what it scored



The rule this sitting is asking for: below about 20 percent accuracy on a topic, this exam's own arithmetic makes attempting a losing bet, because the penalty for a wrong answer outweighs the expected gain. 2 marks and 2 questions went that way here. Leaving those blank is not timidity, it is the higher score.


GAP TO TARGET, AND THE TIME AVAILABLE

Goal: a serious Indore interview call, at 200 marks (*product default, no goal was stated at enrolment*).

The projected band already reaches it. The work from here is protecting that standing under real conditions, not chasing more syllabus.

Runway: about 12 weeks to the date on file.

The signature read



PACING VERDICTS (MEDIAN SECONDS A QUESTION AGAINST THE REAL PAPER'S OWN PACE)

SUBJECT	MEDIAN VS BENCH	PACE INDEX	ZONE	ENDURANCE
Quantitative Ability (short answer)	168s vs 160s	PI 1.05	exam pace	steady
Quantitative Ability (multiple choice)	84s vs 80s	PI 1.05	exam pace	accuracy fell 100 pts by the final third
Verbal Ability	55.7s vs 53s	PI 1.05	exam pace	accuracy fell 100 pts by the final third
Logical Reasoning	63s vs 60s	PI 1.05	exam pace	accuracy fell 100 pts by the final third

WHAT THE CORNERS SAY TO DO NEXT


Where the work is: Quantitative Ability (short answer). Slower than the paper's own pace and still under the accuracy the target needs, which is the signature of the content itself being the obstacle. Speed drills here would only make the errors arrive faster.

WHAT THIS MEANS

The projection prints as a range, not a single number, because a diagnostic this length cannot honestly resolve any finer, and because IIM Indore, IIM Rohtak and the NTA will not either until results day. Read the whole band as equally likely. On this evidence the target of a serious Indore interview call is already inside the band. IPM shortlisting bars move every year and differ by category and by exam. Treat any recent-year figure as a range.

4 questions in this sitting went to pacing (rushing, the final-third squeeze, or never being reached) rather than to not knowing the answer. Pacing questions are the cheapest to recover: every one of them is already within reach.

Why the marks were lost



WHAT THE FOUR COLOURS MEAN, AND WHY THEY NEED DIFFERENT ANSWERS

RUSHED

Answered in under 40 percent of the time the question is worth. The knowledge was often there and the question was not read.

CONCEPT GAP

Answered after full engagement with the clock and still wrong. These are teachable, and they are the most fixable kind of loss there is.

TIME PRESSURE

Lost in the final third of a section that was already running slow. The section clock, not the topic, is what beat these.

NEVER REACHED

The question was not seen. Pure pacing loss, recoverable without learning anything new.

NAMED TOPICS (A PATTERN IS ONLY NAMED AFTER 3 OR MORE HITS)

No single topic or misconception appeared often enough to name. A pattern is only printed here after three or more hits, because two is a coincidence and naming it would send a student to rebuild something that was never broken.

TOPIC MAP: WHAT IS MASTERED, WHAT IS DEVELOPING, WHERE TO FOCUS

Data tables 0% FOCUS	Seating & arrangement 100% MASTERED
Fill in the blank 33% FOCUS	Grammar & error spotting 100% MASTERED
Sentence correction 0% FOCUS	Reading comprehension 100% MASTERED
Algebra: equations, logs & identities 50% DEVELOPING	Series & coding 100% MASTERED
Progressions & series 67% DEVELOPING	Vocabulary in context 100% MASTERED
Arithmetic: percentages, profit & discount 78% MASTERED	

Two students with the same total can carry completely different maps. The plan overleaf works this map, not the total.

Four weeks to protect what is already there

Nothing in this plan costs money. The work is rehearsal, not new syllabus.

Week 1

• One full IPMAT paper at real timing, in one sitting, no pauses. Classify every error the way this report does: rushed, concept gap, or never reached.

Week 2

• Drill only the errors classified as rushed. The aim is pace discipline, not new material.

Week 3

• Second full paper at real timing. Compare the error mix against week one rather than the score.

Week 4

• Light revision and sleep. Do not add a new source in the final week. Confidence is a resource and cramming spends it.

DATES, REGISTRATION AND THE NUMBERS PEOPLE QUOTE AT YOU

Registration and exam dates for IPMAT Indore, IPMAT Rohtak and JIPMAT are published by IIM Indore, IIM Rohtak and the NTA and change every year, so check the official notification rather than any secondary source, including this one. With about 12 weeks in hand, build the concepts first and bring the clock in later. That order matters and it is usually done backwards.

WHERE TO WORK, IN THIS ORDER

- **The official sample papers published by IIM Indore, IIM Rohtak and the NTA for JIPMAT** (free), which are the only three documents that show you how differently the three papers are actually built
- **This report's own error categories**, applied to every practice paper from here on. Classifying an error is most of fixing it.
- Nothing else. This standing needs no formal training: the official material above is free and it is enough.

FOR THE PARENT: THE WHOLE REPORT IN ONE PARAGRAPH

Sample's sitting produced a projected range of 156 to 236 marks on the Indore 360-mark scale for IPMAT Indore, IPMAT Rohtak and JIPMAT. Our call is that nothing needs to change. The free official material and the four-week plan inside protect this standing. Separately, 2 marks went back to negative marking on topics that were not worth attempting. That one is a habit, and habits are cheap to change. 4 of the lost questions went to pacing rather than to ability. Those come back with practice discipline.

Please read this one gently. It is a single hour of a student's life, measured honestly and reported without flattery, and it is a starting point rather than a verdict. Every figure here is a range because a diagnostic this length cannot resolve any finer, and IIM Indore, IIM Rohtak and the NTA will not publish a real number until results day. Nothing on these five pages says anything about how hard this student works or how much they can achieve.

There is nothing to buy at the end of this report, and that is the finding. The verdict above says this standing needs no formal training, so the page ends on the plan rather than on a next step. Everything the plan asks for is listed above, it is free, and it is enough.